# Secure Indexes\*

Eu-Jin Goh Stanford University 15 March 2004

\* Generalizes an early version of my paper "How to search on encrypted data" on ePrint Cryptology Archive on 7 October 2003

### Secure Indexes

#### Data Structures that —

- Index words (w<sub>1</sub>, ..., w<sub>n</sub>) in a doc
- Allow users with trapdoor for word w to search only for w in O(1) time
- Contents hidden without trapdoor
- Index preserves semantic security of encrypted documents
  - Do not hide public info about doc (e.g. encrypted file size)

# Applications

- 1. Searching on Encrypted Data [SWP00, G03, BD0P03, CM04]
- 2. Encrypted Searchable Audit Logs [WBDS04]
- 3. Private Database Queries [BC04]
- 4. Accumulated Hashing
- 5. Private Set Membership Test

# Talk Overview

Security model

 IND-CKA — almost always sufficient
 IND2-CKA — stronger (by [CM04])

 Efficient Construction (Z-IDX)

 Variants secure in both models

# Secure Index Scheme

### Consists of 4 algorithms —

- 1. Keygen
- 2. Trapdoor
- 3. BuildIndex
- 4. SearchIndex

# **IND-CKA Intuition**

### Goal — Semantic Security A cannot deduce doc contents from index

# **IND-CKA Intuition**

Goal – Semantic Security A cannot deduce doc contents from index

### Captured using standard IND Game —

 A chooses 2 equal size docs V<sub>0</sub>, V<sub>1</sub> and is given index I for either V<sub>0</sub> or V<sub>1</sub>
 V<sub>0</sub> and V<sub>1</sub> (possibly) unequal # words
 A guesses which doc is indexed by I

# **IND-CKA Intuition**

Goal – Semantic Security A cannot deduce doc contents from index

### Captured using standard IND Game —

- 1. A chooses 2 equal size docs  $V_0$ ,  $V_1$  and is given index I for either  $V_0$  or  $V_1$
- 2.  $V_0$  and  $V_1$  (possibly) unequal # words
- 3. A guesses which doc is indexed by I

### Chosen Keyword Attack (CKA) – A given

- 1. plain text access to all docs + indexes
- 2. queries for any trapdoor of its choice (restricted after challenge)

# **IND2-CKA Intuition**

Goal – Semantic Security A cannot deduce doc contents from index

### Captured using standard IND2 Game —

- 1. A chooses 2 docs  $V_0$ ,  $V_1$  and is given index I for either  $V_0$  or  $V_1$
- 2.  $V_0$ ,  $V_1$  (possibly) unequal size + # words
- 3. A guesses which doc is indexed by *I*

### Chosen Keyword Attack (CKA) – A given

- 1. plain text access to all docs + indexes
- 2. queries for any trapdoor of its choice (restricted after challenge)

# IND-CKA vs. IND2-CKA

#### **IND-CKA**

Equal size docs have indexes that appear to contain same # of words/tokens
 IND2-CKA [CM04]
 Unequal size docs have indexes that appear to contain same # of words/tokens
 But can already distinguish indexes for

unequal size docs from doc size

# IND-CKA vs. IND2-CKA

### **IND-CKA**

Equal size docs have indexes that appear to contain same # of words/tokens

#### IND2-CKA [CM04]

• Unequal size docs have indexes that appear to contain same # of words/tokens

 But can already distinguish indexes for unequal size docs from doc size

IND2-CKA model appears too strong
 IND-CKA probably strong enough + gives more efficient constructions

# **Construction Z-IDX**

Z-IDX built using 1. Bloom filters (BF) — Efficiently test set membership O(1) insert/test algorithms 2. Pseudo-random functions (PRF) emulate "random functions" 

Keygen (s): PRF f:  $\{0,1\}^n \in \{0,1\}^s$   $(0,1]^s$   $(0,1]^s$   $(0,1]^s$   $(0,1]^s$   $(0,1]^s$   $(0,1]^s$ 

# IND-CKA Z-IDX

Keygen (s): PRF  $f: \{0, 1\}^n \land \{0, 1\}^s \otimes \{0, 1\}^s$ Output  $K_{priv} = (k_1, ..., k_r) \triangleleft R \{0, 1\}^{sr}$ 

# IND-CKA Z-IDX

Trapdoor  $(K_{priv}, w)$ : Output  $T_w = (f(w, k_1), \dots, f(w, k_r)) \hat{I} \{0, 1\}^{sr}$ 

IND-CKA Keygen (s): PRF f: {0,1}<sup>n</sup> ~ {0,1}<sup>s</sup> @ {0,1}<sup>s</sup> Z-IDX Output  $K_{priv} = (k_1, ..., k_r) - R \{0, 1\}^{sr}$ Trapdoor  $(K_{priv}, W)$ : Output  $T_w = (f(w, k_1), ..., f(w, k_r)) \hat{I} \{0, 1\}^{sr}$ BuildIndex (D,  $K_{priv}$ ): Let  $D = (D_{id}, W_0, ..., W_n)$ , U = upper bound on # words for doc of size |D|1) For *W*<sub>0</sub>, ..., *W*<sub>n</sub>, do a) Compute  $T_{w_i} = (x_1 = f(w_i, k_1), ..., x_r = f(w_i, k_r))$ b) Compute + insert  $(f(D_{id}, x_1), ..., f(D_{id}, x_r))$  in BF 2) Insert  $(u - n) \cdot r$  of 1's uniformly at random in BF 3) Output  $I_D = (D_{id}, BF)$ 

IND-CKA Keygen (s): PRF f: {0,1}<sup>n</sup> 1 {0,1}<sup>s</sup> @ {0,1}<sup>s</sup> Z-IDX Output  $K_{priv} = (k_1, ..., k_r) - R_{(0,1)}^{sr}$ Trapdoor  $(K_{priv}, W)$ : Output  $T_w = (f(w, k_1), ..., f(w, k_r)) \hat{I} \{0, 1\}^{sr}$ BuildIndex  $(D, K_{priv})$ : Let  $D = (D_{id}, W_0, \dots, W_n)$ , u = upper bound on # words for doc of size |D|1) For  $W_0, ..., W_n$ , do a) Compute  $\overline{T}_{w_i} = (x_1 = f(w_i, k_1), ..., x_r = f(w_i, k_r))^T$ b) Compute + insert ( $f(D_{id}, x_1)$ , ...,  $f(D_{id}, x_r)$ ) in BF 2) Insert  $(u - n) \cdot r$  of 1's uniformly at random in BF 3) Output  $I_D = (D_{id}, BF)$ SearchIndex  $(T_w, I_D)$ : Let  $T_w = (x_1, ..., x_r), I_D = (D_{id}, BF)$ 1) Compute  $(y_1 = f(D_{id}, x_1), ..., y_r = f(D_{id}, x_r))$ 2) Test if BF contains 1's in all  $y_1$ , ...,  $y_r$  locations

IND2-CKA Keygen (s): PRF f: {0,1}<sup>n</sup> 1 {0,1}<sup>s</sup> @ {0,1}<sup>s</sup> Z-IDX Output  $K_{priv} = (k_1, ..., k_r) - R_{(0,1)}^{sr}$ Trapdoor  $(K_{priv}, W)$ : Output  $T_w = (f(w, k_1), ..., f(w, k_r)) \hat{I} \{0, 1\}^{sr}$ BuildIndex (*D*,  $K_{priv}$ ): Let  $D = (D_{id}, W_0, ..., W_n)$ , 1) For  $W_0, ..., W_n$ , do a) Compute  $\overline{T}_{w_i} = (x_1 = f(w_i, k_1), ..., x_r = f(w_i, k_r))$ b) Compute + insert  $(f(D_{id}, x_1), \dots, f(D_{id}, x_r))$  in BF 2) Insert  $(u - n) \cdot r$  of 1's uniformly at random in BF 3) Output  $I_{D} = (D_{id}, BF)$ SearchIndex  $(T_{w}, I_D)$ : Let  $T_w = (x_1, \dots, x_r), I_D = (D_{id}, BF)$ 

1) Compute  $(y_1 = f(D_{id}, x_1), \dots, y_r = f(D_{id}, x_r))$ 2) Test if *BF* contains 1's in all  $y_1, \dots, y_r$  locations

# **Z-IDX Properties**

- 1. Handle arbitrary updates
- 2. Compressible Indexes
  - Space efficient for small and medium size docs
- 3. Short Trapdoors
- 4. Computationally very efficient
- 5. Occurrence Search
- 6. Efficient Boolean + Limited Regex Queries
- 7. Simple Key Management

# Chang-Mitzenmacher (Feb 2004)

- Based on similar techniques as Z-IDX
- IND2-CKA secure
- Use pre-built dictionaries

# Chang-Mitzenmacher (Feb 2004)

- Based on similar techniques as Z-IDX
- IND2-CKA secure
- Use pre-built dictionaries

#### Advantages

- More space efficient than IND2-CKA secure Z-IDX
- No false positives (negligible in Z-IDX with proper choice of BF params)

# Chang-Mitzenmacher (Feb 2004)

- Based on similar techniques as Z-IDX
- IND2-CKA secure
- Use pre-built dictionaries

#### **Advantages**

- More space efficient than IND2-CKA secure Z-IDX
- No false positives (negligible in Z-IDX with proper choice of BF params)

#### Disadvantages

- Cannot handle arbitrary updates
- Much less comp. efficient than both Z-IDX's
- Large fixed size indexes not compressible ⇒ less space efficient than IND-CKA Z-IDX for small and medium size docs