

The RSA Trapdoor Permutation

© 2001-2002 by Dan Boneh. All rights reserved. This presentation is for educational purposes only. It may not be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, recording, or by any information storage and retrieval system, without permission in writing from the copyright owner.

Dan Boneh
Stanford University

Review: arithmetic mod composites

- Let $N = p \cdot q$ where p, q are prime
- Notation: $Z_N = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, N-1\}$
 $(Z_N)^* = \{\text{invertible elements in } Z_N\}$
- Facts:
 - $x \in Z_N$ is in $(Z_N)^*$ \Leftrightarrow $\gcd(x, N) = 1$
 - Number of elements in $(Z_N)^*$ is $\varphi(N) = (p-1)(q-1)$
- Euler's thm: $\forall x \in (Z_N)^* : x^{\varphi(N)} = 1$

Review: trapdoor permutations

Three algorithms: (G, F, F^{-1})

- G : outputs pk, sk
 pk defines a function $F(pk, \cdot): X \rightarrow X$
- $F(pk, x)$: evaluates the function at x
- $F^{-1}(sk, y)$: inverts the function at y using sk

Secure trapdoor permutation (review):

the func. $F(pk, \cdot)$ is one-way without the trapdoor sk .

The RSA trapdoor permutation

- **First published:**
 - Scientific American, Aug. 1977.
(after some censorship entanglements)
- **Currently the "work horse" of Internet security:**
 - Most Public Key Infrastructure (PKI) products.
 - SSL/TLS: Certificates and key-exchange.
 - Secure e-mail and file systems.

The RSA trapdoor permutation

➤ alg G: $N=pq$. $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} N \approx 1024 \text{ bits. } p, q \approx 512 \text{ bits.} \\ e - \text{ encryption exponent. } \gcd(e, \phi(N)) = 1. \end{array} \right.$

➤ alg F: $\text{RSA}(M) = M^e \in \mathbb{Z}_N^*$ where $M \in \mathbb{Z}_N^*$

➤ Trapdoor: d - decryption exponent.
Where $e \cdot d = 1 \pmod{\phi(N)}$

➤ alg F⁻¹: $\text{RSA}(M)^d = M^{ed} = M^{k\phi(N)+1} = (M^{\phi(N)})^k \cdot M = M$

➤ (n,e,t,ε)-RSA Assumption: For all t-time algs. A:

$$\Pr \left[A(N, e, x) = x^{1/e} \pmod{N} : \begin{array}{l} p, q \xleftarrow{R} \text{ n-bit primes,} \\ N \leftarrow pq, \quad x \xleftarrow{R} \mathbb{Z}_N^* \end{array} \right] < \varepsilon$$

Textbook RSA is insecure

➤ Textbook RSA encryption:

- public key: (N, e) Encrypt: $C = M^e \pmod{N}$
- private key: d Decrypt: $C^d = M \pmod{N}$
 $(M \in \mathbb{Z}_N^*)$

➤ Completely insecure cryptosystem:

- Does not satisfy basic definitions of security.
- Many attacks exist.

➤ The RSA trapdoor permutation is not a cryptosystem !

A simple attack on textbook RSA




- Session-key K is 64 bits. View $K \in \{0, \dots, 2^{64}\}$
Eavesdropper sees: $C = K^e \pmod{N}$.
- Suppose $K = K_1 \cdot K_2$ where $K_1, K_2 < 2^{34}$. (prob. $\approx 20\%$)
Then: $C / K_1^e = K_2^e \pmod{N}$
- Build table: $C / 1^e, C / 2^e, C / 3^e, \dots, C / 2^{34e}$. time: 2^{34}
For $K_2 = 0, \dots, 2^{34}$ test if K_2^e is in table. time: $2^{34} \cdot 34$
- Attack time: $\approx 2^{40} \ll 2^{64}$

RSA pub-key encryption (ISO std)

- (E_s, D_s) : symmetric encryption scheme, AE-secure
 $H: Z_N \rightarrow K$ where K is key space of (E_s, D_s)
- G : generate RSA params: $pk = (N, e)$, $sk = (N, d)$
- $E(pk, m)$:
 - (1) choose random x in Z_N
 - (2) $u \leftarrow \text{RSA}(x) = x^e$, $k \leftarrow H(x)$
 - (3) output $(u, E_s(k, m))$
- $D(sk, (u, c))$: output $D_s(H(\text{RSA}^{-1}(u)), c)$

RSA encryption in practice


- Never use textbook RSA.
- RSA in practice (since ISO standard is not often used) :



- Main question:
 - How should the preprocessing be done?
 - Can we argue about security of resulting system?

PKCS1 V1.5


- PKCS1 mode 2: (encryption)



- Resulting value is RSA encrypted.
- Widely deployed in web servers and browsers.
- No security analysis !!

Attack on PKCS1

- Bleichenbacher 98. Chosen-ciphertext attack.
- PKCS1 used in SSL:



⇒ attacker can test if 16 MSBs of plaintext = '02'.

- **Attack:** to decrypt a given ciphertext C do:
 - Pick $r \in \mathbb{Z}_N$. Compute $C' = r^e \cdot C = (r \cdot \text{PKCS1}(M))^e$.
 - Send C' to web server and use response.

Review: chosen CT security (CCS)

- No efficient attacker can win the following game:
(with non-negligible advantage)




Attacker wins if $b = b'$

PKCS1 V2.0 - OAEP

- New preprocessing function: OAEP [BR94]

Check pad
on decryption.
Reject CT if invalid.




- Thm [FOPS'01]: RSA is trap-door permutation \Rightarrow RSA-OAEP is CCS when H, G are "random oracles".
- In practice: use SHA-256 for H and G .

OAEP Improvements


➤ OAEP+: [Shoup'01]

∇ trap-door permutation F
F-OAEP+ is CCS when
 H, G, W are "random oracles".



➤ SAEP+: [B'01]

RSA trap-door perm \Rightarrow
RSA-SAEP+ is CCS when
 H, W are "random oracle".



Subtleties in implementing OAEP

[M '00]

```
OAEP-decrypt(C) {  
    error = 0;  
    .....  
    if ( RSA-1(C) > 2n-1 )  
        { error = 1; goto exit; }  
    .....  
    if ( pad(OAEP-1(RSA-1(C))) != "01000" )  
}    { error = 1; goto exit; }
```

- **Problem:** timing information leaks type of error.
⇒ **Attacker can decrypt any ciphertext C .**
- **Lesson:** Don't implement RSA-OAEP yourself ...

Part II:

Is RSA a One-Way Function?

© 2004 Pearson Education, Inc. All rights reserved. This presentation is for educational use only. No part of this presentation may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, without the prior written permission of Pearson Education, Inc.

Is RSA a one-way permutation?

- To invert the RSA one-way function (without d) attacker must compute:

$$M \text{ from } C = M^e \pmod{N}.$$

- How hard is computing e 'th roots modulo N ??
- Best known algorithm:
 - Step 1: factor N . (hard)
 - Step 2: Find e 'th roots modulo p and q . (easy)

Shortcuts?

- Must one factor N in order to compute e 'th roots?
Exists shortcut for breaking RSA without factoring?
- To prove no shortcut exists show a reduction:
 - Efficient algorithm for e 'th roots mod N
⇒ efficient algorithm for factoring N .
 - Oldest problem in public key cryptography.
- Evidence no reduction exists: (BV'98)
 - "Algebraic" reduction ⇒ factoring is easy.
 - Unlike Diffie-Hellman (Maurer'94).

Improving RSA's performance

➤ To speed up RSA decryption use

small private key d .

$$C^d = M \pmod{N}$$

- Wiener87: if $d < N^{0.25}$ then RSA is insecure.
- BD'98: if $d < N^{0.292}$ then RSA is insecure
(open: $d < N^{0.5}$)
- Insecure: priv. key d can be found from (N, e) .
- Small d should never be used.

Wiener's attack

➤ Recall: $e \cdot d = 1 \pmod{\varphi(N)}$
 $\Rightarrow \exists k \in \mathbb{Z} : e \cdot d = k \cdot \varphi(N) + 1$
 $\Rightarrow \left| \frac{e}{\varphi(N)} - \frac{k}{d} \right| \leq \frac{1}{d\varphi(N)}$

$$\varphi(N) = N - p - q + 1 \Rightarrow |N - \varphi(N)| \leq p + q \leq 3\sqrt{N}$$

$$d \leq N^{0.25}/3 \Rightarrow \left| \frac{e}{N} - \frac{k}{d} \right| \leq \frac{1}{2d^2}$$

Continued fraction expansion of e/N gives k/d .

$$e \cdot d = 1 \pmod{k} \Rightarrow \gcd(d, k) = 1$$

RSA With Low public exponent

➤ To speed up RSA encryption (and sig. verify) use a small e . $C = M^e \pmod{N}$

➤ Minimal value: $e=3$ ($\gcd(e, \varphi(N)) = 1$)

➤ Recommended value: $e=65537=2^{16}+1$

Encryption: 17 mod. multiplies.

➤ Several weak attacks. Non known on RSA-OAEP.

➤ Asymmetry of RSA: fast enc. / slow dec.

- ElGamal: approx. same time for both.

Implementation attacks

- Attack the implementation of RSA.
- Timing attack: (Kocher 97)
The time it takes to compute $C^d \pmod{N}$ can expose d .
- Power attack: (Kocher 99)
The power consumption of a smartcard while it is computing $C^d \pmod{N}$ can expose d .
- Faults attack: (BDL 97)
A computer error during $C^d \pmod{N}$ can expose d .
OpenSSL defense: check output. 5% slowdown.

Key lengths

- Security of public key system should be comparable to security of block cipher.

NIST:

| <u>Cipher key-size</u> | <u>Modulus size</u> |
|------------------------|---------------------|
| ≤ 64 bits | 512 bits. |
| 80 bits | 1024 bits |
| 128 bits | 3072 bits. |
| 256 bits (AES) | <u>15360</u> bits |

- High security \Rightarrow very large moduli.
Not necessary with Elliptic Curve Cryptography.