## Assignment \#3

Due: Thursday, Mar. 14, 2013, 5pm.

Problem 1 Let's explore why in the RSA public key system each person has to be assigned a different modulus $N=p q$. Suppose we try to use the same modulus $N=p q$ for everyone. Each person is assigned a public exponent $e_{i}$ and a private exponent $d_{i}$ such that $e_{i} \cdot d_{i}=1 \bmod \varphi(N)$. At first this appears to work fine: to encrypt to Bob, Alice computes $c=x^{e_{\text {bob }}}$ for some value $x$ and sends $c$ to Bob. An eavesdropper Eve, not knowing $d_{\text {bob }}$ appears to be unable to invert Bob's RSA function to decrypt $c$. Let's show that using $e_{\text {eve }}$ and $d_{\text {eve }}$ Eve can very easily decrypt $c$.
a. Show that given $e_{\text {eve }}$ and $d_{\text {eve }}$ Eve can obtain a multiple of $\varphi(N)$. Let us denote that integer by $V$.
b. Suppose Eve intercepts a ciphertext $c=x^{e_{\mathrm{bob}}} \bmod N$. Show that Eve can use $V$ to efficiently obtain $x$ from $c$. In other words, Eve can invert Bob's RSA function.
Hint: First, suppose $e_{\text {bob }}$ is relatively prime to $V$. Then Eve can find an integer $d$ such that $d \cdot e_{\text {bob }}=1 \bmod V$. Show that $d$ can be used to efficiently compute $x$ from $c$. Next, show how to make your algorithm work even if $e_{\text {bob }}$ is not relatively prime to $V$.

Note: In fact, one can show that Eve can completely factor the global modulus $N$.
Problem 2. Time-space tradeoff. Let $f: X \rightarrow X$ be a one-way permutation. Show that one can build a table $T$ of size $B$ bytes $(B \ll|X|)$ that enables an attacker to invert $f$ in time $O(|X| / B)$. More precisely, construct an $O(|X| / B)$-time deterministic algorithm $\mathcal{A}$ that takes as input the table $T$ and a $y \in X$, and outputs an $x \in X$ satisfying $f(x)=y$. This result suggests that the more memory the attacker has, the easier it becomes to invert functions.
Hint: Pick a random point $z \in X$ and compute the sequence

$$
z_{0}:=z, \quad z_{1}:=f(z), \quad z_{2}:=f(f(z)), \quad z_{3}:=f(f(f(z))), \quad \ldots
$$

Since $f$ is a permutation, this sequence must come back to $z$ at some point (i.e. there exists some $j>0$ such that $z_{j}=z$ ). We call the resulting sequence $\left(z_{0}, z_{1}, \ldots, z_{j}\right)$ an $f$-cycle. Let $t:=\lceil|X| / B\rceil$. Try storing $\left(z_{0}, z_{t}, z_{2 t}, z_{3 t}, \ldots\right)$ in memory. Use this table (or perhaps, several such tables) to invert an input $y \in X$ in time $O(t)$.

Problem 3 Commitment schemes. A commitment scheme enables Alice to commit a value $x$ to Bob. The scheme is secure if the commitment does not reveal to Bob any information about the committed value $x$. At a later time Alice may open the commitment
and convince Bob that the committed value is $x$. The commitment is binding if Alice cannot convince Bob that the committed value is some $x^{\prime} \neq x$. Here is an example commitment scheme:

Public values: (1) a 1024 bit prime $p$, and (2) two elements $g$ and $h$ of $\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{*}$ of prime order $q$.
Commitment: To commit to an integer $x \in[0, q-1]$ Alice does the following: (1) she picks a random $r \in[0, q-1]$, (2) she computes $b=g^{x} \cdot h^{r} \bmod p$, and (3) she sends $b$ to Bob as her commitment to $x$.

Open: To open the commitment Alice sends $(x, r)$ to Bob. Bob verifies that $b=g^{x} \cdot h^{r} \bmod p$.

Show that this scheme is secure and binding.
a. To prove security show that $b$ does not reveal any information to Bob about $x$. In other words, show that given $b$, the committed value can be any integer $x^{\prime}$ in [0, q-1].
Hint: show that for any $x^{\prime}$ there exists a unique $r^{\prime} \in[0, q-1]$ so that $b=g^{x^{\prime}} h^{r^{\prime}}$.
b. To prove the binding property show that if Alice can open the commitment as $\left(x^{\prime}, r^{\prime}\right)$ where $x \neq x^{\prime}$ then Alice can compute the discrete $\log$ of $h$ base $g$. In other words, show that if Alice can find an $\left(x^{\prime}, r^{\prime}\right)$ such that $b=g^{x^{\prime}} h^{r^{\prime}} \bmod p$ then she can find the discrete $\log$ of $h$ base $g$. Recall that Alice also knows the $(x, r)$ used to create $b$.

Problem 4. Let's build a collision resistant hash function from the RSA problem. Let $n$ be a random RSA modulus, $e$ a prime relatively prime to $\varphi(n)$, and $u$ random in $\mathbb{Z}_{n}^{*}$. Show that the function

$$
H_{n, u, e}: \mathbb{Z}_{n}^{*} \times\{0, \ldots, e-1\} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{n}^{*} \quad \text { defined by } \quad H_{n, u, e}(x, y):=x^{e} u^{y} \quad \in \mathbb{Z}_{n}
$$

is collision resistant assuming that taking $e^{\prime}$ th roots modulo $n$ is hard.
Suppose $\mathcal{A}$ is an algorithm that takes $n, u$ as input and outputs a collision for $H_{n, u, e}(\cdot, \cdot)$. Your goal is to construct an algorithm $\mathcal{B}$ for computing $e$ 'th roots modulo $n$.
a. Your algorithm $\mathcal{B}$ takes random $n, u$ as input and should output $u^{1 / e}$. First, show how to use $\mathcal{A}$ to construct $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{n}$ and $b \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $a^{e}=u^{b}$ and $0 \neq|b|<e$.
b. Clearly $a^{1 / b}$ is an $e^{\prime}$ th root of $u$ (since $\left(a^{1 / b}\right)^{e}=u$ ), but unfortunately for $\mathcal{B}$, it cannot compute roots in $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$. Nevertheless, show how $\mathcal{B}$ can compute $a^{1 / b}$. This will complete your description of algorithm $\mathcal{B}$ and prove that a collision finder can be used to compute $e^{\prime}$ th roots in $\mathbb{Z}_{n}^{*}$.
Hint: since $e$ is prime and $0 \neq|b|<e$ we know that $b$ and $e$ are relatively prime. Hence, there are integers $s, t$ so that $b s+e t=1$. Use $a, u, s, t$ to find the $e^{\prime}$ th root of $u$.
c. Show that if we extend the domain of the function to $\mathbb{Z}_{n}^{*} \times\{0, \ldots, e\}$ then the function is no longer collision resistant.

Problem 5 Recall that a simple RSA signature $S=H(M)^{d} \bmod N$ is computed by first computing $S_{1}=H(M)^{d} \bmod p$ and $S_{2}=H(M)^{d} \bmod q$. The signature $S$ is then found by combining $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ using the Chinese Remainder Theorem (CRT). Now, suppose a Certificate Authority (CA) is about to sign a certain certificate $C$. While the CA is computing $S_{1}=H(C)^{d} \bmod p$, a glitch on the CA's machine causes it to produce the wrong value $\tilde{S}_{1}$ which is not equal to $S_{1}$. The CA computes $S_{2}=H(C)^{d} \bmod q$ correctly. Clearly the resulting signature $\tilde{S}$ is invalid. The CA then proceeds to publish the newly generated certificate with the invalid signature $\tilde{S}$.
a. Show that any person who obtains the certificate $C$ along with the invalid signature $\tilde{S}$ is able to factor the CA's modulus.
Hint: Use the fact that $\tilde{S}^{e}=H(C) \bmod q$. Here $e$ is the public verification exponent.
b. Suggest some method by which the CA can defend itself against this danger.

Problem 6. Recall that Lamport signatures are one-time signatures built from a one-way function $f$. Key generation outputs a public key containing $O(n)$ points in the image of $f$. A signature on an $n$-bit message is a set of $O(n)$ pre-images of certain points in the public key.
Show that the length of Lamport signatures can be reduced by a factor of $t$ at the cost of expanding the public and secret keys by a factor of at most $2^{t}$. Make sure to describe your key generation, signing, and verification algorithms.
Hint: Think of signing $t$ bits of the message at a time (as opposed to one bit at a time).

In fact, one can shrink the size of Lamport signatures by a factor of $t$ without expanding the public key. This is a little harder and we won't discuss it here.

