

CS 155 Final Exam

This exam is open books and open notes, but you may not use a laptop. You have 2 hours. Make sure you print your name legibly and sign the honor code below. All of the intended answers may be written well within the space provided. You may use the back of the preceding page for scratch work. If you want to use the back side of a page to write part of your answer, be sure to mark your answer clearly.

The following is a statement of the Stanford University Honor Code:

- A. *The Honor Code is an undertaking of the students, individually and collectively:*
- (1) that they will not give or receive aid in examinations; that they will not give or receive unpermitted aid in class work, in the preparation of reports, or in any other work that is to be used by the instructor as the basis of grading;*
 - (2) that they will do their share and take an active part in seeing to it that others as well as themselves uphold the spirit and letter of the Honor Code.*
- B. *The faculty on its part manifests its confidence in the honor of its students by refraining from proctoring examinations and from taking unusual and unreasonable precautions to prevent the forms of dishonesty mentioned above. The faculty will also avoid, as far as practicable, academic procedures that create temptations to violate the Honor Code.*
- C. *While the faculty alone has the right and obligation to set academic requirements, the students and faculty will work together to establish optimal conditions for honorable academic work.*

I acknowledge and accept the Honor Code.

(Signature)

SENIOR?

(Print your name, legibly!)

Prob	# 1	# 2	# 3	# 4	# 5	# 6	# 7	# 8	Total
Score									
Max	21	10	13	13	15	10	10	8	100

1. (21 points) Short Answer

(a) (3 points) What aspect of the spam problem will DKIM prevent?

(b) (3 points) How are secret salts used in managing a password file? What is their purpose?

(c) (3 points) Suppose trusted computing (TCG) is implemented without extra hardware. That is, suppose all hardware secret keys are stored in the OS kernel instead of a TCG chip. The OS can use these keys to attest to application code running on top of it. Are such attestations trustworthy? If so, explain why. If not, describe an attack.

(d) (3 points) What is the same-origin principle? Say briefly how this policy is or is not applied to (i) cookies, (ii) visited links, and (iii) frames.

(e) (3 points) What is the “confused deputy” problem? How does Java stack inspection help reduce this general problem?

(f) (3 points) What is the principle of least privilege? Why is it important?

(g) (3 points) In comparison with access control lists, what are the advantages and disadvantages of capabilities? List one advantage and one disadvantage.

2. (10 points) Electronic Voting

In a typical U.S. election, voting machines are purchased by a local election board from a supplier. Before each election, election board employees configure each machine for the upcoming election so that each machine will present the correct list of candidates and other voting options. During the election, voters come to each polling place, identify themselves to voting officials, and obtain a ballot or card to place in a machine. Each voter inserts their ballot or card, marks their votes in some way, and removes the ballot or card. After voting, the voter places the removed ballot, card, or any printout from the machine in a box used for this purpose.

After votes are cast, votes can be counted either using votes stored (or electronically transmitted) by each machine, or by using a marked ballot, card, or printout produced when a voter completes a vote. When a vote is contested, a recount is done in whatever way the voting technology allows.

- (a) (2 points) Consider an electronic system, where voting machines store a vote count that is read from the machine at the end of the election, and no card, ballot, or machine printout records the vote. For each part of the system – the voting machine, the election board employees, and the voter – explain what this part of the system is trusted to perform.

- (b) (2 points) What characteristics of this system prevents a single voter from voting twice?

(c) (2 points) How are voters prevented from proving how they voted to someone else outside the polling place? Why is this considered important?

(d) (2 points) Some systems provide a printout that can be read (and checked for correctness) by the voter before it is placed in a collection box. How does this reduce the “trusted computing base” of the voting system?

(e) (2 points) Consider the possibility of Internet voting, in which voters use their browsers to vote at a voting web site. Assume that each voter is given a password, and disregard risks associated with password authentication. Explain why at least two goals of the voting process are difficult or impossible to achieve in this scenario.

3. (13 points) Buffer overflows

Consider the following C code fragment:

```
int func(int i, double *data1, double data2) {  
    double *p = data1;  
    double *vec[10];  
    if ((i<0) || (i>10)) return;  
    vec[i] = data1;  
    *p = data2;  
}
```

(a) (5 points) Explain why this code is vulnerable to a control hijacking attack. Briefly explain how your attack works.

(b) (3 points) If this code is compiled with Stackguard, will the overflow attack be prevented? If so explain why, if not explain why not.

(c) (3 points) If this code is compiled with Stackshield, will the overflow attack be prevented? If so explain why, if not explain why not.

- (d) (2 points) If this code is run with libsafe, will the overflow attack be prevented? If so explain why, if not explain why not.

4. (13 points) Kerberos Authentication

Kerberos involves three two-message exchanges, one between the client and the *Key Distribution Center (KDC)*, one between the client and the *Ticket Granting Service (TGS)*, and one between the client and the *server (S)* chosen by the client.

In Kerberos v4, the initial communication between the client C and the KDC D goes like this:

1. C sends a ticket request containing C 's name and a TGS's name T .
2. The KDC checks that both C and T are known to the system.
3. The KDC creates a ticket containing C 's and T 's names, C 's network address, the current time, the lifetime of the ticket, and a session key K_{CT} . This ticket is encrypted with T 's secret key K_{DT} known to both the key-distribution center D and the ticket-granting service T .
4. The reply to C consists of the ticket just described, T 's name, the current time, the lifetime of the ticket, and the session key, all encrypted with C 's secret key K_C . To keep messages that are intended for one purpose from being mistakenly used for another, the plaintext of the encrypted reply contains a constant string "krbtgt" identifying this as a ticket-granting ticket.
5. The client decrypts the reply and saves the ticket for use.

Questions:

- (a) (3 points) Explain briefly, in general terms, the purpose of the each of the three exchanges (between the client and KDC, client and TGS, and client and S).

- (b) (2 points) Assume that the user's password is not stored on the client machine, and the client's key K_C is computed from the user's password by a known function. Why is Kerberos more convenient, for the human user, than a system in which the TGS is eliminated, and the client makes a Kerberos-style request to the KDC for each server connection?
- (c) (2 points) In Kerberos v4, it is possible for an attacker to request a ticket for C , or simply overhear a request and response for C . Explain how this allows an attacker to do an offline dictionary attack.
- (d) (3 points) In Kerberos v5, a *nonce*, or random number, is added to the client's request to the KDC, and included (as part of the encrypted response) in the reply from the KDC. Nonces are similarly used in the request and response from the TGS. What purpose does this serve?

- (e) (3 points) A Kerberos *realm* consists of a KDC, a TGS, a number of clients sharing keys with the KDC, and a number of application servers sharing keys with the TGS. In cross-realm authentication, a client in one realm wishes to use a server in another realm. Explain briefly how Kerberos is used in cross-realm authentication (across two realms) and state what key(s) must be shared between the two realms.

5. (15 points) SQL Injection

In class we discussed the following PHP script for a login page:

```
$username = $_GET[user];
$password = $_GET[pwd];

$sql = "SELECT *
        FROM   usertable
        WHERE  username = '$username'
        AND    password = '$password' ";
$result = $db->query($sql);
if ($result->num_rows > 0) { /* Success */ }
else { /* Failure */ }
```

- (a) (2 points) Explain why a URL where user is set to " ' or 1 = 1 -- " will result in a successful login.

(b) (2 points) Suppose we change lines 1 and 2 to

```
$username = addslashes($_GET[user])
$password = addslashes($_GET[pwd])
```

Recall that the `addslashes` function adds a slash before every quote. That is `addslashes("a'b")` will output the string `"a\'b"`. Explain why this prevents the attack from part (a).

(c) (9 points) Does `addslashes` completely solve the problem? Consider the GBK Chinese unicode character set. Some characters in GBK are single bytes while others are double bytes. In particular, the following table shows a few GBK characters:

0x <u>5c</u>	=	\
0x <u>27</u>	=	'
0x <u>bf</u> <u>27</u>	=	¿
0x <u>bf</u> <u>5c</u>	=	緯

That is, the database interprets `0xbf27` as two characters, but interprets `0xbf5c` as a single chinese character.

Show that using `addslashes` as in part (b) leads to a SQL injection attack. What value of `user` will result in a successful login?

(d) (2 points) How should `addslashes` be implemented to defend against your attack from part (c)?

6. (10 points) Stealth port scanning

Recall that the IP packet header contains a 16-bit *identification* field that is used for assembling packet fragments. IP mandates that the identification field be unique for each packet for a given (SourceIP, DestIP) pair. A common method for implementing the identification field is to maintain a single counter that is incremented by one for every packet sent. The current value of the counter is embedded in each outgoing packet. Since this counter is used for all connections to the host we say that the host implements a *global* identification field.

- (a) (2 points) Suppose a host P (whom we'll call the Patsy for reasons that become clear later) implements a global identification field. Suppose further that P responds to ICMP ping requests. You control some other host A . How can you test if P sent a packet to anyone (other than A) within a certain one minute window? You are allowed to send your own packets to P .

- (b) (5 points) Your goal now is to test whether a victim host V is running a server that accepts connection to port n (that is, test if V is listening to port n). You wish to hide the identity of your machine A . Hence, A cannot directly send a packet to V , unless that packet contains a spoofed source IP address. Explain how to use the patsy host P to do this.

Hint: Recall the following facts about TCP:

- A host that receives a SYN packet to an open port n sends back a SYN/ACK response to the source IP.
- A host that receives a SYN packet to a closed port n sends back a RST packet to the source IP.
- A host that receives a SYN/ACK packet that it is not expecting sends back a RST packet to the source IP.
- A host that receives a RST packet sends back no response.

- (c) (3 points) How would you change host P to avoid this problem? You are not allowed to modify the TCP/IP protocol or the services running on P . You may only modify the *implementation* of TCP/IP on host P .

7. (10 points) Blue Security

An anti-spam company called Blue Security Inc. used a vigilante approach to fighting spam. Blue Security customers reported their spam to Blue Security, which analyzed it and sent back a set of instructions to a Blue Frog client running on the customer's machine. The client software used these instructions to visit the websites advertised by the spam messages and leave complaints on those websites. For each spam a user received, the Blue Frog client would leave one generic complaint. Blue Security operated on the assumption that as the community grew, the flow of complaints from hundreds of thousands of computers would apply enough pressure on spammers and their clients to convince them to stop spamming. A similar idea is the basis of an open source P2P system called Okopipi.

On May 1st 2006, Blue Security's web site came under a massive DDoS attack using a variety of techniques including *DNS amplification*. Subsequently, the company shut down.

- (a) (3 points) How does a DNS amplification DDoS attack work?
Hint: Recall that a 60-bytes UDP query to a (recursive) DNS server can result in a 512-byte UDP response (or 4000-bytes with EDNS) to the source IP.

- (b) (3 points) What are some solutions to DNS amplification?

(c) (2 points) Suppose Blue Security was still in business. Can the Blue Security service itself be used to mount a DoS attack? If so, explain how.

(d) (2 points) Would SPF or DKIM prevent the exploit you described in part (c)?

8. (8 points) Firewalls

(a) (2 points) Explain the difference between packet filters and application layer proxies.

(b) (2 points) Can a stateless firewall block TCP connection initiation requests from an external location to any local host, but at the same time allow returning traffic from connections initiated by local hosts? Why or why not?

(c) (2 points) What is the main security benefit of NAT and why is it useful to combine NAT with a firewall, instead of using separate NAT and firewall devices?

(d) (2 points) In a distributed firewall, an administrator ships out firewall rules to hosts over an authenticated channel, and each host enforces its own policy. Give one advantage and one disadvantage of a distributed firewall, in comparison with a centralized firewall.