Lecture 15 : Signatures and public-key eucryption from Cattices

Recap: SIS -> CRHF

Today: More from lattices

SIS -> +rapdoor OWF -> signatures

LWE: new assumption

4> Reger's encryption scheme

L> Post-quantum key-exchange (HWS)

L> Google has implemented this & L> Ougoing NIST competition to develop standards for post-quantum Cryptography

integer parameters SIS(n, m, q, B)x∈Z<sup>m</sup>, ×≠ ở 1)  $Ax = 0 \mod q$ Adversary wins it: Z) IXII n S B Hash- lunction from SIS : H<sub>sn</sub>(A, x) = A x mod q 6 previous Fact 1 : If SIS is hard, How is collision-resistant HWG Problem 56 For appropriate parameters (n, m, q, B), il SIS is hard then we can get a one-way function ? Fact 2 :

✓ "There are outr two hard things in CS: ✓ cache invalidation and varing things" (Phil Karlton) Inhomogenous SIS (ISIS) Adversor Challenger  $A \stackrel{\mathbb{R}}{\leftarrow} \mathbb{Z}_{q}^{n \times m}$ ↓ ×∈ Z<sup>m</sup> 

Adversary wins if: 1) Ax = y mod q 2) ||x|| 00 \$ B

Fact: ISIS(n, m, q, B) is as hard as SIS(u, m, q, B)

Ly "there's nothing special about homogenous systems of equations"

solving Ax = 0 ~ solving Ax - y = 0 (SIS) (ISIS)

Trapdoors

To construct public-ker primitives, we need certain tasks (e.g. decryption) to be easy given some Private information, and hard otherwise. Moreover, some tasks (e.g. encryption,) should be easy for everyore. For symmetric-crypto, the "simplest" primitive is the one-way function. For asymmetric-crypto, the "simplest" primitive is a trapploor one-way function. To asymmetric-crypto, the "simplest" primitive is a trapploor one-way function. Diffice the primitives a trapploor one-way function.

A collection of functions { 1 k: X -> Y 3 KEK is a trapdoor one-way function if:

· There is an efficient Trap Gen (1") algorithm that outputs a "public" ker KEK and a traphoor tok • Given k,  $f_{k}(x)$  can be efficiently computed for any  $x \in X$ • Given k and  $\gamma = f_{k}(x)$  for  $x \in X$ , it is hard to find  $x \in X$  s.t  $f_{k}(x') = \gamma$   $\int O \cup F^{"}$ · There is an efficiently computable function of (Idk, y) that outputs XEX St h (x) = y

Example:

k = e  $RSA \quad f(x) = x^{e} \mod N \quad f_{k} = d \quad st \quad e \cdot d = 1 \mod \phi(N)$ 

OWFS are necessary and sulficient for symmetric crypto Remark : i.e, OWFS PRGS PRFS PRPS Trapdeor OWFS are sullicient for public-key crypto but not recessory: Trapdoor OWF > PKE [Gerher, Holkin, Reingold]

Cattice trapdoors this is just the SIS hash function (et  $f_A(x) = A x^*$ . We will show that we can use  $f_A$  as a trapdoor lunction. • Trap Gen  $(u, m, q) \rightarrow (A, td_A)$ Produces a matix A E Zq and a trapdoor HA •  $4a^{-1}(tdA, r) \rightarrow x$ : on truth  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_q^m$  s.t Ax = r and  $\|x\|_{\infty} \in \mathbb{B}$ Infuition: Given the trapdoor Hoy, solving the ISIS challenge for A is easy There are many ways to construct a trapdoor totA. We will (informally) describe one way: G-frapdoors We start with a matrix GE Zquen such that the function fG(x) = Gx is easy to invert. That is given G and y = G x anyone can lind x'E 20,13" such that G x' = r G is called a gadget matrix. We'll talk about them more on wednesday when discussing Fully Honomorphic Encryption. For now, all we need to know is that G is easy to construct ( think about how you would do this ! ) So fA (lor Act Zq ) is hard to invert but has no trapdoor, and fG is always easy to invert (so not are way). We somehow need to mix the

two.

The high-level construction is : we assume ISIS (u, 2, a, B) is hard, for B " "/4 Trap Gen (u, 2m, q): - Sample A & Za"xm - Sample R = {0,13 mm - let GEZque be a public gadaget matrix - output A = [ A | AR+G] E Zquxzm toA = R Matrix concalenation  $\frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{1}{4}A,\gamma\right)$ : Goal: output  $\times \in \mathbb{Z}_q^{2m}$  s.t.  $A_X = \gamma$  and  $\|x\|_{\infty} \in \mathbb{B}$ - Find x\* E EO, 13 m such that Gx\* = ~ - Set Ko = - R ×\* , ×, = ×\* (this step uses the trapdoor) - output [xo] • Correctvess:  $A\left[\frac{x_0}{x_1}\right] = \overline{A}x_0 + (\overline{A}R+6)x_1$ =  $-\overline{A}Rx^* + \overline{A}Rx^* + 6x^* = \gamma$ ||×||<sub>∞</sub> = 1, ||×<sub>0</sub>||<sub>∞</sub> = || R×<sup>\*</sup> ||<sub>∞</sub> ≈ <u>M</u> andon binary vector binary vector independent of R · Security: This construction isn't quite secure (this requires a lew) We can show that if  $m \ge 2ub_{3}q$ : { $(\bar{A},\bar{A}R)$ :  $R \stackrel{\sim}{=} \frac{1}{2}a_{1}^{mm}$ }  $\Re \stackrel{\sim}{\to} \frac{1}{2}\left\{(\bar{A},\gamma): \gamma \stackrel{\sim}{=} 2m\right\}$ A this requires a powerful and useful result known as the Lethor that comma So A is indistinguishable from random. If ISIS is hard, we can prove that \$A is hard to invert \$ Problem: a pre-image x= [-Rx"] leabs information about the trapdoor R to e.g. if A and R are public/secret keys for a signature scheme (see below), then each signature contains such a pre-image x and leaks information about the signing key

Digital Signatures from ISIS

Pretty much identical to signatures constructed from the RSA frapoloor function ("Full Jonain Hash construction")

$$ke_{Y}Ge_{n}(1^{\lambda}): (A, +\lambda) \leftarrow Trap Ge_{n}(n, m, q)$$

$$Set \ pk = A , \ sk = +\lambda A$$

$$H: \epsilon_{n}s^{*} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{q}^{n} \ modded \ os \ a \ R.O.$$

$$Sign(sk, m): \qquad Y = H(m)$$

$$x = \lambda_{A}^{-1}(+\lambda A, Y)$$

$$Output \quad T = X$$

Verily 
$$(pk, m, \sigma)$$
:  $\gamma = H(m), x = \sigma$   
Check that  $Ax = \gamma$  and  $\|x\|_{\infty} \leq B$ 

(A, r) and sends pk = A to the adversary B of the signature scheme.

- -> guess the R.O. query that corresponds to the looped message m\*, and return  $H(m^*) = \gamma$
- -> on a "sign" grear lor m, pick a random x, set H(m) = Ax, return v=x
- > if B andputs a lorged signature J for m\*, J is a solution to the ISIS challenge

Learning with Errors

A powerful and easy to use Lattice assumption:

 $LWE(n, m, q, x_B):$ positive integers, B-bounded distribution over Zq: P[llelloo ≤ B] = 1 save as in SIS  $\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A, s^{\mathsf{T}}A+e^{\mathsf{T}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{array}{c} A \notin \mathbb{Z}_{q}^{\mathsf{n}\times\mathsf{m}} \\ s \notin \mathbb{Z}_{q}^{\mathsf{n}} \\ e \notin X_{g}^{\mathsf{m}} \end{array} \right\} \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{N}^{\mathsf{C}} \\ \mathcal{N} \end{array} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A, u^{\mathsf{T}} \end{pmatrix} \right. \begin{array}{c} A \notin \mathbb{Z}_{q}^{\mathsf{n}\times\mathsf{m}} \\ u \notin \mathbb{Z}_{q}^{\mathsf{m}} \\ u \notin \mathbb{Z}_{q}^{\mathsf{m}} \end{array} \right\}$ 

Alternative view (transpose): (AT, ATS+e) \* (AT, 4)

This is the "decision" version of LWE. The search version might be more intuitive: given (A, Js +e), recover s Ly The search and decision versions of LWE are (roughly) equally hard ? Lo Solving noisy systems of equations is hard ?

Comparison with ISIS:

ISIS

LWE

solve A's & Y Solve Ax = Y (S.F. 1(AS-Y1100 5B) · m equations · n equations . M unknowns n unknowns • M >> M M 77 M -> a solution exists for any y with high pobability => it y is random, no solution / if y = ATS+C, no other exists with high probability sublion s' exists with high poblity

Reger encryption (Reger 2005)

 $A \stackrel{P}{\leftarrow} Z_{q}^{u \times m}$   $s \stackrel{P}{\leftarrow} Z_{q}^{n}$   $e \stackrel{N}{\leftarrow} X_{B}^{m}$   $b^{T} = s^{T}A + e^{T}$   $e \stackrel{N}{\leftarrow} Z_{q}^{u \times m}$   $e \stackrel{N}{\leftarrow} Z_{q}^{u \times m}$  $Ke_{f} Gen(1^{2}):$ set sk = s,  $pk = (A, b^T)$ 

Everypt (pk, xE {o,1}} : r Le Eo, 13 " Lis rounds down to verrest integer  $C_0 = Ar$ ,  $C_1 = br + \lfloor \frac{q}{2} \rfloor \cdot x$ output  $Ct = (co, c_i) \in \mathbb{Z}_q^n \times \mathbb{Z}_q$ 

 $\frac{Decrypt(sk,ct):}{X = c_1 - s^T c_0}$   $\frac{1}{1} |x| < \frac{9}{4} \quad \text{output } x = 4$ 

Correctvess :

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\mathbf{x}} &= \mathbf{C}_{r} - \mathbf{C}_{0}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{S} \\ &= \mathbf{b}^{\mathsf{T}}_{r} + \mathbf{b}^{\mathsf{T}}_{2} \mathbf{j} \cdot \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{s}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{r} \\ &= (\mathbf{s}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{e}^{\mathsf{T}})\mathbf{r} + \mathbf{b}^{\mathsf{T}}_{2} \mathbf{j} \cdot \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{s}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{r} \\ &= \mathbf{s}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{r} + \mathbf{e}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{r} + \mathbf{b}^{\mathsf{T}}_{2} \mathbf{j} \cdot \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{s}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{r} \\ &= \mathbf{e}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{c} + \mathbf{b}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{c} + \mathbf{b}^{\mathsf{T}}_{2} \mathbf{j} \cdot \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{s}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{r} \\ &= \mathbf{e}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{c} + \mathbf{b}^{\mathsf{T}}_{2} \mathbf{j} \cdot \mathbf{x} \end{split}$$

we have  $e \ll X_{B}^{m}$  and  $r \notin 20,15^{m}$  so  $|e^{T}r| \le mB < \frac{9}{4}$ So if x = 0,  $|\tilde{x}| < \frac{9}{4}$ . If  $x = (\frac{1}{4}|x| > \lfloor \frac{9}{4} \rfloor - \frac{9}{4} \ge \frac{9}{4}$ 

Security: (sequence of hybrids over the view of the adversary)  $H_{Y}b_{0}: pk = (A, b^{T} = s^{T}A + e^{T}), c_{0} = Ar, c_{1} = b^{T}r + L^{q}J \cdot r$ real experiment indistinguisable by che i Hybi :  $PK = (A, T \in \mathbb{Z}_{a}^{m}), C_{0} = Ar, C_{1} = \sqrt{r} + L^{\frac{n}{2}} J \cdot x$ Shelistically indistinguishable  $(H_{\gamma}b_{2}: pk = (A, v^{T}e^{F}Z_{0}^{m}), C_{0}e^{F}Z_{0}^{n}, C, e^{F}Z_{0}^{n})$ 

In Hybz, the cider best is random and independent of the message x.