Thus ther, we have assumed that parties have a shared key. Where does the shared key come from? Can we do this using the tools are have developed so far? So far in this carre: PRFs CPA-secure encryption PRFs MAC Can we use PRFs to construct secure key-agreement protocols? Developed so far? MAC Can we use PRFs to construct secure key-agreement protocols? Developed so far? MAC Can we use PRFs to construct secure key-agreement protocols? Developed so far? MAC Can we use PRFs to construct secure key-agreement protocols? Developed so far? MAC Can we use PRFs to construct secure key-agreement protocols? Developed so far? So far in this carre: PRFs Job Can we use PRFs to construct secure key-agreement Key agreement Key agreem

Merkle puzzles: Suppose f: X -> y is a function that is hard to invert

• )

Suppose it takes time t to solve a puzzle. Adversary needs time O(nt) to solve all puzzles and identify key. Honest parties work in time O(n+t).

L> Only provides linear gap between honest parties and adversary

Can we get a super-polynomial gap just using PRGs? Very difficult! [Impogliazzo-Rudich] Can we get a super-linear gap just using PRGs? Very difficult! [Baruk-Mahmoody]

result holds even if start with a one-way permutation RG inter D + 1D

Impogliazzo-Rudich: <u>Proving</u> the existence of key-agreement that makes <u>black-bar</u> use of PRG implies P # NP.

We will turn to algebra | number theory for new sources of hardness to build key agreement protocols.

Defitien. A group consists of a set G together with an operation 
$$*$$
 that satisfies the following properties:  
- Closure: If  $g_1g_2 \in G$ , then  $g_1 * g_2 \in G$   
- Associativity: For all  $g_1, g_2, g_3 \in G$ ,  $g_1 * (g_2 * g_2) = (g_1 * g_2) * g_3$   
- Identity: There exists an element  $e \in G$  such that  $e * g_2 = g = g * e$  for all  $g \in G$   
- Inverse: For every element  $g \in G$ , there exists an element  $g^* \in G$  such that  $g * g^* = e = g^* * g$   
In addition, we say a group is commutative (or abdom) if the following property also holds:  
- Commutative: For all  $g_1, g_2 \in G$ ,  $g_1 * g_2 = g_2 * g_1$   
- called "nultiplicative" notation  
Notation: Typically, we will use "." to denote the group operation (unless explicitly specified otherwise). We will write  
 $g^*$  to denote  $g \cdot g \cdot g \cdot g$  (the usual exponential notation). We use "1" to denote the multiplicative identity  
X times  
Examples of groups: (R, +): real numbers under addition  
(Z, +): integers under addition  
(Z, +): integers modulo p under addition  
(Zp, +): integers modulo p under addition  
(Zp, +): integers (an important group for cryptography):  
- The structure of  $\mathbb{Z}_p^*$  (an important group for cryptography):

What are the elements in Zp?

Bezout's identity: For all positive integers X, y E Z, there exists integers a, b E Z such that ax + by = gcd(x, y). <u>Corollary</u>: For prime p, Zp = {1,2,..., p-1}. <u>Proof</u>. Take any x E {1,2,..., p-1}. By Bezout's identity, gcd(x,p) = 1 so there exists integers a, b E Z where 1 = ax + bp. Modulo p, this is ax = 1 (mod p) so a = x<sup>-1</sup> (mod p).

Coefficients a,b in Bezout's identity can be efficiently computed using the extended Euclidean algorithm:

coefficients

Iterations reeded: O(loge) - i.e., bit-tength of the input [worst case inputs: Fiberacci numbers]

Implication: Euclidean algorithm can be used to compute modular inverses (faster algorithms also exist)