Identity-based encryption: compress many public keys into short public parameters Broad cast encryption: encrypt to many users with a short ciphertext (sublinear in number of users) Setup (N): Takes as input the number of users N and outputs a master public key mpk and a set of decryption keys $Sk_1, ..., Sk_N$ Encrypt (mpk, S, m): Takes a "broadcast set" S = [N] and a message m and outputs a ciphertext ct Decrypt (ski, S, ct): Takes a decryption key ski, the broadcast set S S [N] and a ciphertext and outputs a message $(mpk, sk_1, ..., sk_N) \leftarrow Setup(N) \Rightarrow Ct \leftarrow Encrypt(mpk, S, m)$ ∀i ∈ S: Decrypt (ski, S, ct) = m Correctness: <u>Challenger</u> adversary Security: < mpk (mpk, sk1, ..., skN) - Setup < S, m₀, m, C Requirement : for all indices i G [N] queried by A, it holds that if S. $\int \frac{\text{Encrypt}(\mathsf{mpk}, S, \mathsf{m}_{b})}{b' \in \{0, 1\}}$

Scheme is (adaptively) secure if for all efficient adversaries
$$A$$
:
 $|\Pr[b'=1 | b=0] - \Pr[b'=1 | b=1] \leq negl.$

 $\frac{Succinctness}{\lambda}: |ct| = O(N) \quad \text{poly}(\lambda) \quad \text{where } N \text{ is the number of areas} \\ \text{and } \lambda \text{ is the security parameter}$

Without succinctness, can just concatenate N independent ciphentexts together

Bonch-Gentry-Waters (BGW) broadcast everyption scheme:

Key idea: Use powers in the exponent

public parameters will contain group elements of the form $g g^{\alpha} g^{\alpha^2} \dots g^{\alpha^N} - g^{\alpha^N} g^{\alpha^$ "public keys" associated additional terms used for with users 1,..., N decryption

hole at gan (used for security) N+1 key idea: g^a not given out in base group « can encrypt with respect to this

to encrypt message m E G T, sample r & Zp and compute

 $(g^{r}, e(g^{\alpha}, g^{\alpha^{N}})^{r} \cdot m)$ $(e(g^{\alpha}, g^{\alpha^{N}}) = e(g, g^{\alpha^{N+1}})$

 g^{α} $\frac{c_{\alpha}}{c_{\alpha}}$ be given out : otherwise can compute $e(g^r, g^{\alpha})$

problem: how to decorpt?
if i
$$G S \rightarrow Should be able to compute $e(g, g^{atti})^{r}$
if $i \notin S \rightarrow Should not be able to compute this
approach: let $g_i = g^{a_i}$
observe that $e(g_i, g_j) = e(g^{a_i}, g^{a_i})$
 $= e(g, g_{i+j})$
in the ciphertext, include $\int_{GS} g_{N+1-j}$
in this case,
 $e(g_{i,j} \int_{GS} g_{N+1-j}) = \prod e(g_i, g_{N+1-j})^{r}$
 $= e(g_i, g_{N+1-i}) \prod e(g_i, g_{N+1-j})^{r}$
 $= e(g_i, g_{N+1-i}) \prod e(g_i, g_{N+1-j+i})^{r}$
 $guantity of = e(g_i, g_{N+1-j+i})^{r}$
 $a \in N+1-j+i \leq 2N$
 $if i \neq j : N+1-j+i \neq N+1$
this means $g_{N+1-j+i}$ is contained
in the public guaranters$$$

it if S, then

problem: knowledge of g: is sufficient to decrypt (when i E S), but g: is public!

approach: set secret key for user i as
$$g_i^*$$
 and
publish $V = g^{N}$ in the public parameters



Setup (N): Sample
$$\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_p$$
 and $\forall \in \mathbb{Z}_p$
Let $g_i = g^{\alpha_i}$ and $\forall = g^{\gamma_i}$
mpk = $(g, g_1, g_2, ..., g_N, g_{N+2}, g_{N+3}, ..., g_{2N}, \vee)$
sk; = (g_i, g^{γ_i})
Encrypt (mpk, S, m): $\Gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_p$
 $et = (g^{\Gamma}, [\vee, \Pi g_{N+1-i}]^{\Gamma}, e(g, g_N)^{\Gamma} m)$
KEM

e (gi, ct2) e(di TT·gN+1-j+i, cti) jes\\$3 Decrypt (sk:, S, c+) : // || ₹ ← (g;,d;) (ct, ct2, ct3)

Output Ct3/2

Correctness:

 $e(g_i, ct_2) = e(g_i, V. \overline{\Pi}g_{N+2-j})$ since $i \in S$ = $e(g_i, g_{N+2-i}) e(g_i, V. \overline{H} g_{N-1-j})$ $j \in S \setminus \{i, j\}$ $= e(g, g_{N+1})^{r} \cdot e(g_{i}, V \cdot \prod_{j \in S \setminus \{i\}} g_{N-i-j})^{r}$ $= e\left(g, g_{N+1}\right)^{r} e\left(g; V\right)^{r} \prod_{j \in S \setminus S^{r}} e\left(g; g_{N-1-j}\right)^{r}$ $e(d_{i}: \pi_{g_{N+1},j+2},g^{r}) = e(d_{i},g)^{r} \pi_{i} e(g_{N+2},j+2,g^{r})$ $= e(g_i^{\delta}, g) \prod_{j \in S \setminus \{i\}} e(g_i, g_{N+1-j})$ $= e(g_{i}, v)^{r} TT e(g_{i}, g_{N+2-j})^{r} [v=g^{\lambda}]$ $j \in S \setminus \{i\}$ Thus, $e(g_i, ct_2) = e(g, g_{N+1})$ Correctness holds! $e(d_i \prod_{\substack{j \in S \\ j \neq i}} g_{N+1-j+i}, ct_i)$

Security: N-bilinear Diffie-Hellman exponent (N-BDHE) assumption
sample
$$\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}p$$
, $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}p$, and $r \in \mathbb{Z}p$:
distinguish $(g^{\gamma}, g, g^{\alpha}, g^{\alpha}, \dots, g^{\alpha}, g^{\alpha}, \dots, g^{\alpha}, e(g, g)^{\alpha})$
from $(g^{\gamma}, g, g^{\alpha}, g^{\alpha^{2}}, \dots, g^{\alpha^{N+2}}, \dots, g^{\alpha^{2N}}, e(g, g)^{r})$
 $from $(g^{\gamma}, g, g^{\alpha}, g^{\alpha^{2}}, \dots, g^{\alpha^{N+2}}, \dots, g^{\alpha^{2N}}, e(g, g)^{r})$
 \Rightarrow similar to BDHE except exponents are α^{N+1} and $\gamma_{1,2}$ and adversary
is given additional powers $g, g^{\alpha}, g^{\alpha^{2}}, \dots, g^{\alpha^{N}}, g^{\alpha^{N+2}}, \dots, g^{\alpha^{2N}}$
assumption is often called a " g -type assumption" (size of
assumption depends on a scheme gonometer)
Note: if $p-1$ has a factor $t \leq N$, then there is an algorithm for
computing α from $(g, g^{\alpha}, g^{\alpha^{2}}, \dots, g^{\alpha^{N}})$ in time $\tilde{O}(\sqrt{p/N} + \sqrt{N})$
Generic discrete log algorithm runs in time $\tilde{O}(\sqrt{p})$, so hardness of assumption$

<u>Proving security</u>: Will consider weaker notion of security where adversary declares the challenge set S <u>before</u> seeing the security parameters (selective security)

We do not know how to prove the BGW schune is adaptively secure (i.e., in a model where adversary can choose the set S ofter seeing public parameters). Proof of selective security:

1. Algorithm B receives N-DHBE challenge

2. Algorithm B runs A. Algorithm A chooses a set $S \subseteq [N]$. Algorithm B now needs to construct public key and decryption keys for indices if S.

In the ciphertoxt, we will want to use
$$h=g^r$$
 as the first component of
the challenge ciphertoxt. Then $e(g,g)^{\alpha n+1}r$ is the real blinding factor
while $e(g,g)^t$ is a random blinding factor.
If $h=g^r$ is the first element, use need a way to construct

but we do not know r (and connot compute given;).

[V- jes gn+1-j]

 $\frac{\text{Idea}: \text{Sumple } s \stackrel{\text{e}}{\leftarrow} \mathbb{Z}_{p}. \qquad \text{Now } V \cdot \overline{\prod} g_{N+1-j} = g^{S}.$ $\frac{\text{Set } V = \left[\frac{g^{S}}{\int \prod} g_{N+1-j}\right]. \qquad \text{Then } \left(V \cdot \overline{\prod} g_{N+1-j}\right) = g^{rS} = h^{S}.$

which we can compute ourselves!

$$mpk = (g, g_1, g_2, ..., g_N, g_{N+2}, ..., g_{2N}, V)$$

Next, we need to construct keys for $i \notin S$. Real scheme: $di = gi' = ga' = va' [v = g^{2}]$



Observe: reduction can only construct key for if S. Important since ofherwise, reduction does not need A and can decrypt itself.

- 3. Algorithm B gives mpk and di for īf S to A. Algorithm A. Outputs a message m to be encrypted.
 - We again consider a stronger pseudorandomness game where the adversory] tries to distinguish ciphortext from a random string.
 - To construct challenge ciphertext: $ct = (h, h^{S}, m \cdot T)$

Observe: h = gr, so second component should be

$$\begin{pmatrix} V \cdot TI = g_{N+1-j} \end{pmatrix}^{T} = (g^{S})^{T} = h^{S}$$

$$\int_{j \in S}^{N+1} r^{T} = e(g,g)^{N+1} r^{T}$$

$$if T = e(g,g)^{T}, \text{ then this is a real ciphertoct}$$

$$if T = e(g,g)^{T}, \text{ then this is random group element}$$